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Abstract

This paper addresses some single-machine scheduling problems with past-sequence-dependent (p-s-d) delivery times and deteriorating jobs. By the past-sequence-dependent (p-s-d) delivery times, we mean that the delivery time of any job is proportional to the job’s waiting time. It is assumed that the deterioration process reflects an increase in the process time as a function of the job’s starting time. This paper shows that the single-machine scheduling problems to minimize the makespan and the total completion time are polynomially solvable under the proposed model. It further shows that the problems to minimize the total weighted completion time, discounted total weighted completion time and total tardiness are polynomially solvable under certain conditions.
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1 Introduction

In classical deterministic scheduling models, the processing conditions, including the job processing times, are normally viewed as given constants. However, in many real-life scheduling situations, the processing conditions may vary over time, thereby affecting the actual durations of the jobs. This leads to the study of scheduling models in which the actual processing time of a job in a schedule depends on its position in the schedule. There are two categories of models that address scheduling problems with varying processing times. Informally, in
scheduling with learning, the actual processing time of a job gets shorter if the 
job is scheduled later. These problems have received considerable attention 
and we refer the reader to the survey paper by Biskup [1] for a state-of-the-art 
review of the recent results in this area, as well as for references to practical 
applications of these models. On the other hand, in scheduling with deterio-
rating, we assume that the later a job starts, the longer it takes to process the 
job. Numerous applications of scheduling with deteriorating jobs are reported 
in the literature. These include modelling of the forging process in steel plants, 
manufacturing of pre-heated parts in plastic moulding or in silverware produc-
tion, finance management, scheduling maintenance or learning activities, and 
scheduling de-rusting operations.

In many scheduling environments, the job processing times are assumed 
to be an increasing function of their starting times (time-dependent deterio-
ration). Most of the related studies consider linear deterioration. Browne and 
model in which the actual processing time of job \( J_j \), if it is started at time 
\( t \), is given by 
\[
p_j^A = p_j + \alpha_j t,
\]
where \( \alpha_j \) denotes the deterioration rate with \( \alpha_j \geq 0 \). He shows that the problem 
to minimize the total weighted completion time with weights proportional to 
the original processing times is polynomially solvable. Similar models have 
been studied by Toksari and Güer [8], and Yang and Kuo [9]. For more studies 
on different scheduling models involving deteriorating jobs, we refer the reader 
to the well-known survey by Gawiejnowicz [6].

Koulamas and Kyparisis [5] considered a situation in which the delivery 
times of jobs are past-sequence-dependent. Yin et al. [10] considered 
some single-machine scheduling problems with past-sequence-dependent de-
ivery times and a linear deterioration simultaneously. As a continuation, this 
paper addresses some single-machine scheduling problems with past-sequence-
dependent delivery times and deterioration effect model (1) simultaneously. 
The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 formulates 
the model. Section 3 presents the properties of the optimal schedules of the 
considered problems. Some conclusions are given in the last section.
2 Model formulation

Assume that there are \( n \) jobs \( J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n \) to be processed on a single machine. The machine can handle one job at a time, machine idle and preemption are not allowed. All the jobs are available for processing at some time \( t_0 \geq 0 \). Let \( p_j \), \( w_j \), \( d_j \) denote the normal processing time, the weight, and the due date, respectively, of job \( J_j \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \); also, let \( J_{[j]} \) and \( p_{[j]} \) denote the job scheduled in the \( j \)th position in the sequence and its normal processing time, respectively. \( (w_{[j]} \) and \( d_{[j]} \) are defined accordingly). For convenience, the jobs are indexed according to the shortest normal processing time (SPT) rule, i.e., \( p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_n \). Due to the effect of deterioration, the actual processing time of job \( J_j \) is defined as

\[
p^A_j = p_j + \alpha t,
\]

if it starts at time \( t \), where \( \alpha \) denotes the deterioration index with \( \alpha \geq 0 \). For convenience, we denote this deterioration effect given in the above equation by \( DT \).

As in Koultamas and Kyparisis [5], we assume that the processing of job \( J_{[j]} \) must be followed by a p-s-d delivery time \( q_{[j]} \), which can be computed as

\[
q_{[j]} = \delta W_{[j]} = \delta \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} p^A_{[i]}, \quad j = 2, \ldots, n, \quad q_{[1]} = 0,
\]

where \( \delta \geq 0 \) is a normalizing constant, \( W_{[j]} \) denotes the waiting time of job \( J_{[j]} \), and \( p^A_{[i]} \) represents the actual processing time of job \( J_{[i]} \). Observe that

\[
W_{[j]} = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} p^A_{[i]} = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 + \alpha)^{j-i} p_{[i]} + t_0 (1 + \alpha)^{j-1}, \quad j = 2, \ldots, n.
\]

For a given schedule \( S \), let \( C_j(S) \) denote the completion time of job \( J_j \) and \( C_{[j]}(S) \) represent the complete time of the job scheduled in the \( j \)th position in \( S \). It is assumed that the post-processing operation of any job \( J_{[j]} \) modeled by its delivery time \( q_{[j]} \) is performed off-line, consequently, it is not affected by the availability of the machine and it can commence immediately upon completion of the main operation resulting in \( C_{[1]} = t_0 + p^A_{[1]} + q_{[1]} = t_0 (1 + \alpha) + p_{[1]} \), and

\[
C_{[j]} = W_{[j]} + p^A_{[j]} + q_{[j]} = W_{[j]} + p_{[j]} + \alpha W_{[j]} + \delta W_{[j]} = (1 + \alpha + \delta) W_{[j]} + p_{[j]}
\]

\[
= (1 + \alpha + \delta) t_0 (1 + \alpha)^{j-1} + (1 + \alpha + \delta) \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 + \alpha)^{j-i} p_{[i]} + p_{[j]}, \quad j = 2, \ldots, n. \tag{2}
\]

Using the standard three-field notation introduced by Graham et al. [3], our scheduling problem can be denoted as \( 1|DT, D_{\text{ped}}|\gamma \). In this paper we
will consider the problems of minimization the following five functions: the maximum completion time (makespan), the total completion time, the total weighted completion time, the discounted total weighted completion time and the total tardiness. The corresponding scheduling problems are denoted as $1|DT, D_{psd}|C_{\text{max}}$, $1|DT, D_{psd}|\sum C_j$, $1|DT, D_{psd}|\sum w_jC_j$, $1|DT, D_{psd}|\sum w_j(1-e^{-\alpha C_j})$ and $1|DT, D_{psd}|\sum T_j$, respectively.

3 Preliminary results

3.1 The problems $1|DT, q_{psd}|C_{\text{max}}$ and $1|DT, q_{psd}|\sum C_j$

Koulamas and Kyparisis [5] showed that the makespan minimization problem and the total completion time minimization problem without deterioration (i.e., $\alpha = 0$) are polynomially solvable. In what follows, we show that similar results still hold for the problems $1|DT, D_{psd}|C_{\text{max}}$ and $1|DT, D_{psd}|\sum C_j$. Before proceeding, we first formulate a lemma.

Lemma 3.1 [4] Let there be two sequences of numbers $x_i$ and $y_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$). The sum $B_i = x_iy_i$ of products of the corresponding elements is the least if the sequences are monotonic in the opposite sense.

Theorem 3.2 For the problem $1|DT, D_{psd}|C_{\text{max}}$, there exists an optimal schedule in which the jobs are ordered according to the SPT rule.

Proof. By Eq. (2), we have

$$C_{\text{max}} = C_{[n]} = (1 + \alpha + \delta)t_0(1 + \alpha)^{n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_jp_{[j]}\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} p_{[i]} + p_{[j]},$$

where $w_j = \begin{cases} (1 + \alpha + \delta)(1 + \alpha)^{n-j-1} & j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, \\ 1 & j = n. \end{cases}$ It is clear that $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \cdots \geq w_n$, thus it follows from Lemma 3.1 that the result holds. \square

Theorem 3.3 For the problem $1|DT, D_{psd}|\sum C_j$, there exists an optimal schedule in which the jobs are ordered according to the SPT rule.

Proof. Let $S$ and $S'$ be two job schedules where the difference between $S$ and $S'$ is a pairwise interchange of two adjacent jobs $J_i$ and $J_j$, i.e., $S = (\pi_1, J_i, J_j, \pi_2)$ and $S' = (\pi_1, J_j, J_i, \pi_2)$, where $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ denote the partial sequences. In addition, we assume that there are $r - 1$ jobs in $S_i$ and $p_i \leq p_j$. Thus, jobs $J_i$ and $J_j$ are the $r$th and $(r+1)$th jobs in $S$, whereas jobs $J_j$ and $J_i$ are scheduled in the $r$th and $(r+1)$th position in $S'$. To show that $S$ dominates $S'$, it suffices
to show that $C_i(S) + C_j(S) \leq C_j(S') + C_i(S')$ and $C_j(S) \leq C_i(S')$. By Eq. (2), we have
\[
C_i(S) = (1 + \alpha + \delta)t_0(1 + \alpha)^r - 1 + (1 + \alpha + \delta)\sum_{l=1}^{r-1}(1 + \alpha)^{r-l-1}p_l + p_i
\]
\[
C_j(S) = (1 + \alpha + \delta)t_0(1 + \alpha)^r + (1 + \alpha + \delta)\sum_{l=1}^{r-1}(1 + \alpha)^{r-l-1}p_l + (1 + \alpha + \delta)p_i + p_j
\]
\[
C_j(S') = (1 + \alpha + \delta)t_0(1 + \alpha)^r - 1 + (1 + \alpha + \delta)\sum_{l=1}^{r-1}(1 + \alpha)^{r-l-1}p_l + p_j
\]
and
\[
C_i(S') = (1 + \alpha + \delta)t_0(1 + \alpha)^r + (1 + \alpha + \delta)\sum_{l=1}^{r-1}(1 + \alpha)^{r-l-1}p_l + (1 + \alpha + \delta)p_j + p_i.
\]
Now, by $p_i \leq p_j$, it is easy to see that $C_i(S) \leq C_j(S')$ and $C_j(S) \leq C_i(S')$, and so $C_i(S) + C_j(S) \leq C_j(S') + C_i(S')$. Therefore, $S$ dominates $S'$. Thus, repeating this interchange argument for all jobs not sequenced according to the SPT sequence will yield the theorem. □

3.2 The problem $1|DT, D_{psd}| \sum w_j C_j$

In this section, we consider the problem $1|DT, D_{psd}| \sum w_j C_j$. We show that the problem is polynomially solvable under certain agreeable conditions.

Theorem 3.4 For the problem $1|DT, D_{psd}| \sum w_j C_j$, if jobs have reversely agreeable weights, i.e., $p_i \leq p_j$ implies $w_i \geq w_j$ for all jobs $J_i$ and $J_j$, then there exists an optimal schedule in which the jobs are ordered according to the non-decreasing order of $p_j/w_j$ (the WSPT rule).

Proof. We still adopt the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $p_i/w_i \leq p_j/w_j$. Since jobs have reversely agreeable weights, we have $p_i \leq p_j$ and $w_i \geq w_j$. By the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have $C_i(S) \leq C_j(S')$, $C_j(S) \leq C_i(S')$, and $C_i(S) = C_i(S')$ for each job $J_i \notin \{J_i, J_j\}$. To show that $S$ dominates $S'$, it suffices to show that $w_i C_i(S) + w_j C_j(S) \leq w_i C_i(S') + w_j C_j(S')$. In fact, since $C_i(S) \leq C_j(S')$ and $C_j(S) \leq C_i(S')$, we have
\[
w_i C_i(S') + w_j C_j(S') - w_i C_i(S) - w_j C_j(S) \geq w_i C_j(S) + w_j C_i(S) - w_i C_i(S) - w_j C_j(S)
= (w_i - w_j)(C_j(S) - C_i(S)).
\]
From $w_i \geq w_j$ and $C_j(S) \geq C_i(S)$, we have $(w_i - w_j)(C_j(S) - C_i(S)) \geq 0$ and so $w_i C_i(S) + w_j C_j(S) \leq w_i C_i(S') + w_j C_j(S')$. Therefore, $S$ dominates $S'$. Thus, repeating this interchange argument for all jobs not sequenced according to the WSPT sequence will yield the theorem. □
3.3 The problem \(1|DT, D_{psd}| \sum w_j(1 - e^{-aC_j})\)

In this section, we consider the problem \(1|DT, D_{psd}| \sum w_j(1 - e^{-aC_j})\). We show that the problem is polynomially solvable under certain agreeable conditions.

**Theorem 3.5** For the problem \(1|DT, q_{psd}| \sum w_j(1 - e^{-aC_j})\), if jobs have reversely agreeable weights, i.e., \(p_i \leq p_j\) implies \(w_i \geq w_j\) for all jobs \(J_i\) and \(J_j\), then there exists an optimal schedule in which the jobs are ordered according to the non-decreasing order of \(\frac{1}{w_j e^{-ap_j}}\) (the WDSPT rule).

**Proof.** We still adopt the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. Suppose that \(p_i/w_i \leq p_j/w_j\). Since jobs have reversely agreeable weights, we have \(p_i \leq p_j\) and \(w_i \geq w_j\). By the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have \(C_i(S) \leq C_j(S')\), \(C_j(S) \leq C_i(S')\), and \(C_j(S) = C_i(S')\) for each job \(J_i\). To show that \(S\) dominates \(S'\), it suffices to show that \(w_i(1 - e^{-aC_i(S)}) + w_j(1 - e^{-aC_j(S')}) \leq w_i(1 - e^{-aC_i(S')}) + w_j(1 - e^{-aC_j(S')})\). In fact, since \(C_i(S) \leq C_j(S')\) and \(C_j(S) \leq C_i(S')\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&\quad w_i(1 - e^{-aC_i(S')}) + w_j(1 - e^{-aC_j(S')}) - w_i \sum_j w_j(1 - e^{-aC_j(S')}) - w_j(1 - e^{-aC_j(S)}) \\
= &\quad w_i e^{-aC_i(S)} + w_j e^{-aC_j(S)} - w_i e^{-aC_i(S')} - w_j e^{-aC_j(S')} \\
\geq &\quad w_i e^{-aC_j(S')} + w_j e^{-aC_i(S')} - w_i e^{-aC_i(S')} - w_j e^{-aC_j(S')} \\
= &\quad (w_i - w_j)(e^{-aC_j(S')} - e^{-aC_i(S')}).
\end{align*}
\]

From \(w_i \geq w_j\) and \(C_j(S') \leq C_i(S')\), we have \((w_i - w_j)(e^{-aC_j(S')} - e^{-aC_i(S')}) \geq 0\) and so \(w_i(1 - e^{-aC_i(S)}) + w_j(1 - e^{-aC_j(S')}) \leq w_i(1 - e^{-aC_i(S')}) + w_j(1 - e^{-aC_j(S')})\).

Therefore, \(S\) dominates \(S'\). Thus, repeating this interchange argument for all jobs not sequenced according to the WDSPT sequence will yield the theorem. \(\Box\)

3.4 The problem \(1|DT, D_{psd}| \sum T_j\)

In this section, we consider the problem \(1|DT, D_{psd}| \sum T_j\). We show that the problem is polynomially solvable under certain agreeable conditions.

**Theorem 3.6** For the problem \(1|DT, D_{psd}| \sum T_j\) if the job processing times and the due dates are agreeable, i.e., \(d_i \leq d_j\) implies \(p_i \leq p_j\) for all jobs \(J_i\) and \(J_j\), then there exists an optimal schedule in which the jobs are ordered according to the non-decreasing order of \(d_j\) (the WDSPT rule).

**Proof.** We still adopt the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. Assume that \(d_i \leq d_j\). Since the job processing times and the due dates are agreeable, we have \(p_i \leq p_j\). To show that \(S\) dominates \(S'\), it suffices to show...
Thus the EDD sequence leads to an optimal schedule for sequenced according to the EDD sequence will yield the theorem.

Now since $L_i(S) \leq L_i(S') \leq 0$ and $L_j(S) \leq L_i(S') \leq 0$, hence $T_j(S') + T_i(S') = T_i(S) + T_j(S) = 0$.

Case 2: $L_j(S') \leq 0$ and $L_i(S') > 0$. Then

$$T_j(S') + T_i(S') = \max\{L_i(S'), 0\}$$

$$T_i(S) + T_j(S) = \max\{L_i(S), 0\} + \max\{L_j(S), 0\}.$$  

Now since $L_j(S') \leq 0$, i.e., $C_j(S') \leq d_j$, we have

$$L_i(S') - L_i(S) - L_j(S) = C_i(S') - d_i - (C_i(S) - d_i) - (C_j(S) - d_j) = d_j - (C_i(S) + C_j(S) - C_i(S') - C_j(S') \geq 0),$$

this implies $T_j(S') + T_i(S') = \max\{L_i(S'), 0\} = L_i(S') \geq \max\{L_i(S), 0\} + \max\{L_j(S), 0\} = T_i(S) + T_j(S)$.

Case 3: $L_j(S') > 0$. Then $L_i(S') \geq 0$. In fact, if $L_i(S') < 0$, then $C_i(S') < d_i$ and so $C_j(S') \leq C_i(S) < C_i(S') \leq d_i \leq d_j$, which contradicts $L_j(S') > 0$. Thus $T_j(S') + T_i(S') = L_i(S') + L_j(S') \geq L_i(S) + L_j(S) \geq T_i(S) + T_j(S)$.

Thus, in any case, we have $T_j(S') + T_i(S') \geq T_i(S) + T_j(S)$. Therefore, $S$ dominates $S'$. Hence, repeating this interchange argument for all jobs not sequenced according to the EDD sequence will yield the theorem. □

The following corollaries are direct consequences of Theorem 3.6.

**Corollary 3.7** The EDD sequence leads to an optimal schedule for $1|\text{DT, } D_{psd}, p_j = p|\sum T_j$.

**Corollary 3.8** The EDD sequence leads to an optimal schedule for $1|\text{DT, } D_{psd}, d_j = kp_j|\sum T_j$.

### 4 Conclusions

This paper investigated some single machine scheduling problems with past-sequence-dependent (p-s-d) delivery times and deteriorating jobs. We showed that the single-machine scheduling problems remain polynomially solvable if the objectives are to minimize the makespan and the total completion time. We also showed that under certain conditions, the problems to minimize the total weighted completion time, discounted total weighted completion time and total tardiness are polynomially solvable. We believe that the model offered here will turn out to be more useful in the theory and applications of scheduling. It is useful to guide the practitioners to choose right scheduling rules and suitable model in practical situations.
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